

ISSN 1936-5349 (print)
ISSN 1936-5357 (online)

HARVARD

JOHN M. OLIN CENTER FOR LAW, ECONOMICS, AND BUSINESS

PRIVATIZING POLICE:
JAPANESE POLICE, THE KOREAN MASSACRE,
AND PRIVATE SECURITY FIRMS

J. Mark Ramseyer

Forthcoming in *The Cambridge Handbook on Privatization*
Avihay Dorfman & Alon Harel, eds.

Discussion Paper No. 1008

06/2019

Harvard Law School
Cambridge, MA 02138

This paper can be downloaded without charge from:

The Harvard John M. Olin Discussion Paper Series:
http://www.law.harvard.edu/programs/olin_center

The Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:
<http://ssrn.com/abstract=3402724>

Key words: privatization, police, security services
JEL: K14, K23, L32, L33, L51

Prepared for Avihay Dorfman & Alon Harel, eds.,
The Cambridge Handbook on Privatization.

Draft of June 9, 2019
Address correspondence to:
J. Mark Ramseyer
Harvard Law School
Cambridge, MA 02138
ramseyer@law.harvard.edu

Privatizing Police:
Japanese Police, the Korean Massacre,
and Private Security Firms

by J. Mark Ramseyer*

Abstract: Public security is often a non-excludable public good that involves economies of scale. For these obvious reasons, modern democracies provide their residents with basic security services out of the public fisc.

Yet the capacity to protect overlaps with the capacity to prey. As a result, regimes in dysfunctional societies sometimes use the public security apparatus to extract benefits. Sometimes the security services use their resources to extract benefits for themselves.

Public security is also a normal good: the level of security that people demand tends to increase with income. Hence, wealthier citizens often choose to purchase additional levels of security on the market. In democracies, they do this to supplement the security provided through the public police. In dysfunctional societies, they do this in part to protect themselves from the public police.

I illustrate several of these simple principles with examples from Japan: the development of the modern police force, the Korean massacre after the 1923 earthquake, and the development of modern private security firms.

* Mitsubishi Professor of Japanese Legal Studies, Harvard University. I gratefully acknowledge the generous support of Harvard Law School and the University of Tokyo Law Faculty.

Security is often a non-excludable public good. On the one hand, it benefits the people who buy it; on the other, it benefits the people who live near the people who buy it. It benefits those neighbors even if they refuse to share in the cost of the security themselves.

Security also entails economies of scale. In part because of the positive externalities involved, people economize when they purchase the security together. Rather than each pay to protect himself, they save resources if they collectively purchase their security together.

Unfortunately, a firm (or person) who can protect can also often prey. The technology and organization entailed in preserving public security is often also the technology and organization by which a firm can extract benefits for itself. As a result, in dysfunctional societies the public police often exploit their power -- either for those who control them or for themselves. Private police sometimes do the same.

Security is also a normal good: the level of security that people demand tends generally to rise with their income. Consequently, in modern democracies wealthier citizens often buy private security services to supplement the public police. In dysfunctional societies, they buy private security services in part to protect themselves from the public police.

In the essay that follows, I illustrate several of these simple principles with examples from Japan.

I. Public Goods

A. The Logic:

In the course of keeping order and deterring crime, police officers face economies of scale. Through their investigations, through their intervention, through their sheer presence, they reduce the number of people who might otherwise take property or harm others. I could hire a team of private guards to do the same. So could my neighbors. Inevitably, however, we would spend more than if we cooperated at the outset and hired one team that simultaneously protected all the homes on our street.

In doing all this, police officers supply a service from which they cannot readily exclude someone who refuses to cooperate. When a team of guards collectively protects several residents on a street, they supply a benefit (an externality) that redounds (that spills over) to the benefit of everyone else. Suppose one resident refuses to contribute to the cost of the guards. He still enjoys much of the security that the guards provide. Thanks to their presence, a thief has fewer homes on the street to rob, fewer cars to steal, fewer residents to mug. He faces lower returns to committing crime on the street. Necessarily, he is more likely either to travel elsewhere to steal or to opt for a lawful career instead.

Even the smaller steps a resident takes to prevent crime produce positive externalities. Suppose I install an alarm. Although it covers only my house, it still reduces the aggregate take a thief can expect from my street. To be sure, if the thief is already on the street, my alarm (provided I post a credible sign on my lawn) may cause him to rob my neighbor instead. But to the extent that my alarm deters rather than diverts a criminal (and most crime-prevention steps do both), my alarm confers a positive externality on my neighbor (Hui-wen & Png 1994).

Note that the same logic applies to military force. Although by convention we often analyze military protection separately from police work, the same principle applies to both. Police officers protect us from domestic predators. Military forces protect us from foreign predators. Both jobs involve economies of scale. And both jobs supply non-excludable public goods.

B. Functional and Dysfunctional Governments:

This logic straightforwardly fits wealthy democracies. In countries like Japan, the U.S., or those in Western Europe, it explains why citizens opt to fund basic security services through the government. And for the most part, they purchase similar levels of protection. Table 1 below gives standard numbers for police, murders, and general population levels in the early 2000s, and rates per 100,000 population. Germany employs more police than the others; Japan and South Korea hire fewer. The U.S. has a much higher murder rate than the others; Japan enjoys a lower rate.

[Insert Table 1 about here.]

Because this logic presupposes a reasonably honest and effective state sector, it carries less relevance for societies with dysfunctional governments. If an autocratic regime controls its police tightly, it may choose to use its police to keep itself in power. If it does not control its police, those officers may choose to use their power to extract revenue for themselves.

That said, democratic governments are not the only regimes that use police to preserve order. If a state protects its residents from outside predators, it enhances its opportunity to prey on its residents itself. It can use its monopoly on the use of force (as Max Weber and Douglass North put it) to exclude foreign predators and silence domestic predators. Necessarily, it increases its own ability to extract wealth for itself.

By some accounts, the relatively benign police and military forces in modern democracies have their roots less in the democratic process than in interstate competition. In medieval Europe, military predators (call them feudal lords) competed with each other for territory, and from their territories extracted private returns (tax payments, however denominated). Farmers on the periphery, however, could move. Rather than pay tribute to one sovereign, they could switch loyalties and pay tribute to a neighboring one instead. In effect, that competitive pressure tended to push incumbent lords toward keeping their extractions at competitive levels.

C. Nineteenth-Century Japan:

Military officers (samurai) in late-19th-century Japan saw their competition in the West. As the U.S. Navy began to pressure the Tokugawa government (i.e., the shogunate) to open its ports, military officers associated with several out-lying domains (han) ousted the government in a coup. Nominally, they returned the Kyoto-based emperor to power -- hence the term "Meiji Restoration." In fact, they returned nothing to the emperor. He had served as titular head of the country before; he remained titular head now. The military officers toppled one military government, and replaced it with their own.

Over the course of the next two decades, the new leaders steadily consolidated their power. They did not install a democracy or run a charity. They arrogated control to themselves, and rewarded themselves handsomely for the effort. They understood, however, that offering their countrymen stability and prosperity would increase their domestic support. And they understood that this stability and prosperity would also help provide the resources necessarily to keep foreign threats in check.

To consolidate their power, the new leaders created a military. In 1871, they dissolved the rival domainal governments, and began to try to force the domainal leaders (daimyo) to disband their armies. They found it a hard process, and succeeded only after a civil war in 1877. Out of their own domainal armies, they then created the nucleus of a national military force. To staff the officer corps, they recruited heavily from their home domains. To staff the general soldiers, in 1873 they instituted a draft.

To further their control, the new leaders also created a national police force. By 1881, they had put in place its basic organizational structure. In the same year, they also created a special police (the kenpei) to maintain order within the military (and enforce the draft). And after several anarchists and socialists plotted to assassinate the emperor in 1910, they created a separate police force (the tokko) to watch groups that might threaten the national political structure (Tsuchida 2017, 8-33; Yoshida 2016, 53-59, 78-81).

II. Protection and Predation:

A. The Logic:

When a government creates an organization that protects its citizens, it necessarily also creates an organization that can prey on its citizens. After all, the structure and technology of protection overlap heavily with the structure and technology of predation. Dysfunctional governments are often dysfunctional precisely because they cannot constrain that public predation.

The same problem plagues private security forces. Suppose a person (or firm or group) maintains an organization to protect his family and their assets. On the one hand, the organization may provide a public good: to the extent it deters rather than diverts crime, it confers a positive externality on other citizens. As several scholars noted about modern Australia, the modern private security industry has been "a major contributing factor to reductions in crime since the 1990s" (Prenzler, Sarre & Kim 2017, p. 323). On the other, it also creates a threat: that security industry now enables the person (or firm or group) to prey on other citizens itself.

Over time, people have used private security services for what have seemed morally ambiguous ends. In the late 19th century, for example, U.S. firms famously hired the Pinkertons to break strikes. The moral ambiguity follows from the moral ambiguity of unions themselves: the Pinkertons either violated the rights of workers, or protected the firm's ability to pay market wages in the face of a labor price-fixing cartel. In the early 20th century, firms hired Bugsy Siegel and Meyer Lansky. Because of Prohibition, those in the alcohol distribution chain could not turn to the police to enforce their contracts. Within that legal vacuum, Siegel and Lansky supplied contract-enforcement services. Here too note the overlap between protection and predation: over time, the Siegel-Lansky firm would evolve into the entity that eventual presidential candidate Thomas Dewey would attack as Murder, Inc.

In modern democracies, we tend to believe (or hope) that we can use electoral pressure to keep the public police in check. But we read about the Pinkertons in Arthur Conan Doyle's Valley of Fear. We watch Moe Greene (modeled on Siegel) and Hyman Roth (modeled on Lansky) in the Godfather. And we find ourselves less confident that anyone will keep private police forces in check.

B. Dysfunctional Governments:

For obvious reasons, private security services thrive in societies with weak governments. Residents hire men to protect themselves and their family. They hire men to guard their property. They hire men to enforce their contracts (as New Yorkers hired Siegel and Lansky). Were the state to offer effective police protection, they might do without the private services. Absent that protection, they hire their own.

When Sicily lacked a strong government in the 19th century, the mafia famously arose to take its place (Gambetta 1996). But more modern history offers its own analogues. On a population of only 57 million, for example, South Africa maintains 152,000 public police; its

citizens, however, hire an additional 8,700 security firms and their 489,000 employees (Berg & Howell 2017, 276).

Contemporary civil security services can introduce much the same ambiguity as the Pinkertons and Siegel-Lansky. As the chaos from the Soviet collapse spread through Eastern Europe, "trained professional soldiers ... switched to private security companies." As they did, "the private security sector became its own political, criminal and social force" (OCCRP 2010). The men who run these private firms in places like Eastern Europe are not all trained soldiers. They also include simple "[k]illers, drug dealers and racketeers" (OCCRP 2010). Many of them prey on their own behalf. In Bulgaria, they "force their way into the very companies they are hired to protect, taking shares and money from owners" (OCCRP 2010).

In these dysfunctional states, sometimes those in the public regime manipulate the private security firms to their own private advantage. Sometimes, that advantage can be political. In Moldova, a minister threatened to drop security firms from government contracts unless they intimidated residents into voting communist (OCCRP 2010). Sometimes, it can be financial. In Swaziland, the state serves as the principal client to the private security services. In Liberia and Senegal, government and police officials own the primary security services. In Tanzania, army officials own them (Berg & Howell 2017, 280).

C. 1920s Japan:

1. The earthquake. -- In the wake of the 1923 Tokyo earthquake, young Japanese men illustrated the overlap between protective and predatory activity. Coming on September 1, the magnitude 7.9 (Richter scale) force toppled buildings and smashed homes. Hitting at 11:58 in the morning, it caught many people in the course of cooking lunch. It scattered their charcoal and kerosene stoves, severed gas lines, and set their wooden homes ablaze. The approaching typhoon winds then fanned the flames across the Kanto (greater Tokyo metropolitan) plain. The after-shocks continued relentlessly, and the fires burned for three days.

The shocks and fires destroyed 40 percent of Tokyo -- 293,000 homes -- and left 60 percent of the population homeless (Aldrich 2012; Naikakufu 2005). Gas, water, electricity, transportation -- the earthquake stopped them all (Yoshida 2016, 205). One hundred five thousand people died or disappeared across the plain; 70,000 died within Tokyo proper (Tsuchida 2017, 61). The death toll was spectacularly high within the crowded ghettos where most Koreans lived. Wrote historian Charles Schenckling (2006, 833), it was "Japan's most deadly, economically costly, and physically destructive natural catastrophe in history."

2. Private security. -- Traditionally, neighbors had often banded together to provide communal services. Tokugawa-era governments had not provided much by way of public goods. In many communities, those local neighborhood bands were all the public service anyone had. The bands helped put out fires. They repaired the dikes. They organized community festivals.

In the wake of the earthquakes, many of the men quickly organized themselves into these service bands. The police officers could not manage the city. They were radically understaffed for so total an urban collapse, and faced deaths and destruction themselves. The government called both on police from neighboring areas and on the army, but neither could arrive immediately (Yoshida 2013, 78-79; Tsuchida 2017, 66-67).

Facing this chaos, the private bands -- numbering perhaps 1,600 in Tokyo, and another 600 in neighboring Kanagawa (site of Yokohama) -- undertook a wide range of services. They rationed

food. They distributed other necessities. They carried off dead bodies. They repaired bridges, roads, water lines (Naikakufu 2005; Keishi cho 1923a).

The local bands also killed Koreans. As early as three hours after the earthquake, survivors in Tokyo and Kanagawa began to hear rumors of marauding Korean gangs.¹ The Koreans torched buildings, people said. They planted bombs, they poisoned water supplies, they murdered, they pillaged, they raped.

Korean militants had moved up a planned terrorist attack, reported the newspapers. Japan had annexed Korea in 1910, and since 1919 Korean activists had started to fight back. Given this context, the Kahoku shimpo newspaper (for example) reported a confession taken from a Korean caught carrying a bomb (Kahoku 1923b, 1923e). He and other activists, he said, had planned a massive terrorist attack for the wedding of the crown prince (later the Showa emperor) scheduled for that fall. In the face of the earthquake, they had accelerated their plans.

Primarily, Korean saboteurs had operated within Korea itself. But they did indeed reach Japan sometimes. Upon hearing these rumors of Korean sabotage, private security bands began to scour the Kanto plain for Koreans gangs. In the course of their search, they killed many Koreans -- saboteurs or not. They also killed some Japanese they mistakenly thought were Korean.

Although the public police initially worried about the Korean sabotage, they soon concluded that the private bands posed a bigger problem. They had initially warned residents to be wary of Koreans. Soon they switched to protecting Korean residents from the deadly private bands (Tsuchida 2017, 67). Across the metropolitan area, they gathered Koreans into guarded precincts. In Chiba prefecture's Narashino alone, they held over 3,000 (Kanto n.d.).

3. The puzzles. -- The puzzle is not whether this happened. It is how extensively it happened. More specifically: (a) how broadly did Koreans commit crimes amid the chaos of the earthquake, and (b) how many Koreans did the private security bands actually kill?

(a) Korean crimes? The circumstances. Oddly, historians routinely dismiss the accounts of Korean crime as sheer rumor -- oddly, because the demographic and political circumstances make it implausible to think the stories could have been entirely fictitious. As of 1923, the Koreans in Japan were disproportionately male. In 1920 (the year of the census), 41,000 Koreans lived in Japan and 36,000 were men (Table 2). They were also young. Of the 36,000 men, 5,300 were age 15-19, 11,500 are 20-24, 8,400 were 25-29, 5,000 were 30-34, and only 2,100 were 35-39 (Somu sho 1920).

[Insert Table 2 about here.]

Young men are a high-crime demographic anywhere, and young Koreans were a high crime group in Japan (see Table 2). Of 100,000 male Japanese in Japan, police counted 191 who violated the Criminal Code in 1923. Of 100,000 male Koreans in Korea, they counted 75. For Koreans in Japan, the rate came to 542.

[Insert Table 3 about here.]

What is more, by the early 1920s Koreans extremists had launched a haphazard anti-Japanese resistance. On March 1, 1919, and the days and weeks following, many Koreans took to the (Korean) streets in large protests. Within a month, self-proclaimed Korean leaders had organized a government-in-exile in Shanghai.

¹ Yoshida (2016, 230-32). For details of the rumors, see, e.g., Naikakufu (2005).

The most militant of the anti-Japanese Koreans organized themselves into terrorist and saboteur squads. Operating out of Beijing and elsewhere, they orchestrated a series of bombings and terrorist attacks against Japan. Most of these they carried out on the Korean peninsula. But not all.

In 1920, militants tried to kill the Korean crown prince in Japan. They thought him too pro-Japanese, and planned to assassinate his Japanese wife-to-be and the Japanese governor general of Korea as well. Police foiled all three assassinations. In 1921, assassins did successfully kill Min Won-sik in Tokyo. The journalist and politician had pushed for Korean rights, but extremists thought him too moderate as well, and assassinated him. In 1922, militants tried to assassinate Japanese army general (and eventual prime minister) Giichi Tanaka in Shanghai.

In the days and weeks before the earthquake, Korean anarchist Pak yol and his Japanese lover Fumiko Kaneko apparently (some historians dispute the charge) plotted to kill the Japanese emperor himself. A Japanese anarchist would indeed shoot (but not kill) the crown prince (later Showa emperor) in December 1923. But on September 3, the police arrested Pak and Kaneko, and eventually charged them with attempted regicide.

For the fires that broke out across the city in the hours after the earthquake, Korean leftists took credit. In Shanghai, they were ecstatic about the disaster. And "when told the theories about the violence by anti-social Koreans," the Korean Governor General's office reported, "they found the theories reasonable" (Chosen sotoku fu 1923a). In Korea itself, the leftists forthrightly claimed responsibility. According, again, to the Governor General's office (Chosen sotoku fu 1923b):

Those espousing communism, along with the various labor groups organized by the communists, observe that the harm from disaster was caused less by the earthquake than by the accompanying fire. They then declare that ideologues holding the same goals that they hold lit the fires. Their compatriots lit the fires for the sake of revolution, they explain. They rejoice in the heroic accomplishment, and look forward to the chance to participate themselves.

The reports. Newspapers reported a wide range of eyewitness accounts of Korean crime. To be sure, they competed in a world of yellow journalism. Yet to take but a few examples, on September 3 the Osaka Asahi newspaper reported that Korean mobs were advancing on Tokyo from neighboring Yokohama, torching houses as they came (Asahi 1923b). On September 4, it reported that the Korean mobs were carrying explosives and oil (probably kerosene) as they ran through the city (Asahi 1923c). Several Koreans, wrote a Nagoya paper, upon their arrest confessed to planning to blow up a train (Nagoya 1923). The Tokyo Nichi Nichi newspaper detailed first-hand accounts of Korean arson, dynamite, and general rampage (Tokyo nichu nichu 1923). The Sendai-based Kahoku shinpo (Kahoku 1923c) wrote on September 5:

You could call it a secondary cause of the Tokyo disaster or -- depending on your point of view -- the principal cause. This is the violence by the anti-social Koreans. ... The earthquake broke gas lines across the city. Groups of Koreans then scattered across the city lighting the gas, and causing more than 120 fires. In some places, they threw bombs. After the disaster, they poisoned wells

Some Tokyo residents took refuge on the late Prime Minister Aritomo Yamagata estate. Yet they now had nothing to drink, wrote the Kahoku shimpo newspaper, because Koreans had poisoned the wells on the estate (Kahoku 1923a).

Some Koreans did indeed use the chaos to loot, burn, rape and poison, the Japanese government eventually concluded, but not on the scale claimed in the rumors (Keibi bu 1923;

Naito 1923). As the Korean Governor General's office put it, the rumors "were not without some truth." They "had facts at their root," but became exaggerated in the course of their repetition (Chosen sotoku kanbo 1923; Chosen sotoku fu 1923b).

The Justice Ministry investigated, and reached the following conclusions. During the five days after the earthquake, 139 fires broke out in Tokyo. Of these, 8 were caused by arson, and 3 by specifically Korean arson (Shiho sho 1923, 8-276). More generally, the Ministry (Shiho sho 1923, 350) concluded that from September 1 to 3, Koreans carried out 2 murders, 4 attempted or planned murders; 6 burglaries, 3 rapes, 17 thefts, 3 cases of embezzlement, and 4 violations of explosives regulation. One Korean was found trying to poison the water supply. Five were found trying to transport a bomb (Shiho sho 1923, 8-321 to 0322, 9-356 to -357; Goto n.d.).

Compared to the apocalyptic rumors, these are very low numbers. Observe, however, that the police were radically short-staffed. They had lost facilities to the fire, lost personnel, and had plenty to do besides investigating unverifiable oral claims of past crimes. Block after block, mile after mile, homes lay burned and flattened. Survivors needed food, water, and medicine. The police officers had neither the resources nor the time to decide which house had burned because of a cooking fire and which because of arson. If they suspected arson, they had no way to determine who had torched it. Given the chaos, necessarily much crime would go unnoticed. Much noticed crime would go unsolved. And much solved crime would go unpursued.

(b) Japanese massacres? -- the estimates. Contemporaneous estimates. In the wake of the disaster, several groups and individuals published estimates of the number of Koreans massacred by the Japanese private security squads. First, take the estimates published by the prominent 1920s professor and democratic activist Sakuzo Yoshino. Yoshino did not investigate the killings himself. Instead, he relied on an estimate made by a Korean citizen's group. The group concluded -- and he reported -- a Korean death toll from the security squads of 2,613 (Yoshino 1924). This is the best-known estimate within Japan, and the one most often cited by serious Japanese scholars.

Second, a militant Korean-based group published a pamphlet in early 1924 entitled "Massacre." In it, the group detailed an estimated death-toll of 3,680 (Anon. 1924a).

Third, in December of 1923 the virulently anti-Japanese Shanghai-based Korean government-in-exile compiled reports it had received from correspondents in Japan for a total death toll from the security squads of 6,661 (Anon. 1923; Naikakufu 2005). This is the only estimate in the 6,000 to 7,000 range. When western scholars claim death tolls of 6,000 (see below), they apparently rely on this tally. Note that a half-year later, this same government-in-exile wrote in an open letter to western governments that the security squads had killed 3,655 (Shu 1924).

Last, an anonymous Korean militant wrote in March 1924 that the security squads had killed 23,059 (Anon. 1924b).

Modern English-language accounts. Scholars writing in English cite a wide range of numbers. They rarely explain why they choose the numbers they do -- but they do seem always to choose high numbers over the low. Peter Bates (2006, 17), Jinhee Lee (2008, 206), Kazuhiro Abe (1983), Yoshiaki Ishiguro (1998, 332), and Miriam Silverberg (2005) each cite numbers in the 6,000-7,000 range. In one article, anthropologist Sonia Ryang claims that the Japanese patrols may have killed 10,000 (2003: 746 n.2; also Neff 2016). Elsewhere, she suggests 20,000 (Ryang 2007).

Contemporaneous newspapers. The same sensationalist newspapers that detailed rampant Korean crime also repeated accounts of rampant Japanese slaughter. A century later, we have little reason to think either set of accounts more accurate than the other. On October 20, 1923, the Osaka Asahi newspaper actually reported both sets of claims: that day, it published two articles side by side -- in one, it detailed Koreans looting burned buildings and beating and killing anyone who blocked their way (Asahi 1923d); in the second, it detailed Japanese security squads slaughtering 120 Koreans -- laborers, male and female students alike (Asahi 1923a).

The accounts are extremely common. The Hokuriku Times, for example, told of a security band that killed 58 Korean workers while they were still bound together for transportation (Hokuriku 1923). Kahoku shimpo similarly described a band of young men who slaughtered Koreans being transported (Kahoku 1923d). The Yomiuri shimbun reported Koreans being killed and left on the train tracks, Koreans being thrown into the flames, Koreans being thrown into the sea (Yomiuri 1923). And in early 1924, the Yamato shimbun described hundreds of decomposed bodies of massacred Koreans washing up on shore (never mind how it could have known they were Korean bodies) (Yamato 1924).

The estimation problems. The evidentiary morass that plagues any attempt to determine the scope of Korean sabotage plagues any attempt to determine the scope of the retaliatory murders. The earthquake and fire killed 100,000 people. Wherever they went, police officers found piles of dead bodies, most of them badly burned.

For obvious reasons of sanitation, citizens, police, and other government officials incinerated and buried the bodies as quickly as they could. They did not have the time to determine whether the person was killed first and then burned, or had been trapped in the blaze alive. Japanese and Korean young men looked the same: no one had time to determine whether a corpse had been Japanese or Korean.

At root, the job of "calculating" the number of Koreans murdered involved the same task as the job of "calculating" the extent of the Korean sabotage: it involved listening to rumors, judging their credibility, and adding. Early 20th century Japanese newspapers were nothing if not sensationalist. They reported extraordinarily frightening stories of Korean crime, and equally appalling stories of Japanese retaliation.

When the observers and correspondents behind the contemporaneous estimates compiled their counts, the bodies had often been incinerated long before. Constructing a body count meant listening to rumors about the past. To reach his total, Yoshino's source reported estimates from 75 locations across the Kanto plain and beyond, from places as distant as Karuizawa. Some of his entries are precise: 3 killed in Asakusa park. Others have suspiciously round numbers: 500 killed on the "Kanagawa steel bridge". Yoshino summed the numbers and came to 2,613 (Yoshino 1924).

In their own pamphlet, the Korean revolutionary activists apparently did the same. They entered 2 victims near Koyama, 2 near Aoyama, and 2,000 for Haneda. They summed the numbers (nothing about any Kanagawa steel bridge), and came to 3,680 (Anon. 1924a).

The Shanghai provisional government compiled reports from activists in Japan too. Again, it reports 1 victim here, 3 here, and 500 from Yoshino's Kanagawa steel bridge -- but no entry for the activists' Haneda. The government totaled these to 4,407. Then, however, it reported that its correspondents had sent in another set of numbers (some for the same areas) -- it added the two separate sets of numbers (with no apparent attempt to check for duplication) and reached 6,661 (Anon. 1923). Recall that the next year, the same government reported a 3,655 death toll to

western governments. This time, however, the total included 1,962 deaths from Haneda (Shu 1924).

Now consider the March 1924 report from the anonymous Korean militant. He writes that the 2,000 killed in Haneda were Koreans shot by the Army cavalry (Anon. 1924b). To reach his own sum, he adds numbers from a wide variety of places (2 killed in Aoyama, 2 killed in Omiya, and so forth) -- and the flat 2,000 shot by the cavalry in Haneda. He asserts that the 2,000 number appears in Yoshino's numbers -- it does not. But having now reached a suitably large sum, he adds onto that sum 7,861 for those victims whose bodies were discovered, and 3,249 for those whose bodies were not discovered (never mind why this would not completely duplicate the earlier reports). He further adds 3,100 for Koreans killed by the cavalry (obviously including the already counted Haneda victims). This, he reports, yields a total of 23,059 Koreans killed by the Japanese after the earthquake.

The government count. The Ministry of Justice counted the Koreans it knew to have been murdered. In November of 1923, it identified 231 Koreans murdered in the greater Tokyo area, and 59 Japanese mistaken for Koreans and killed. For these murders, it prosecuted 325 Japanese (Shiho sho 1923, 9-363 to 364, 9-374). In December that year, the police reported 422 killed in the general metropolitan area (Keiho kyoku 2013, 6-187, 6-188; Goto n.d.).

In one account, the Korean Governor General's office estimated the number of Koreans killed by the Japanese private security squads at 300 (Zaikyo senjin 1923). In a second account, it estimated the total Koreans deaths from all causes at 832. It then suggested that 20-30 percent of those deaths were caused by the security squads: a number in the range of 170 to 250 (Chosen sotoku kanbo 1923).

(c) Japanese massacres? -- the range. Introduction. "So," one lawyer dryly noted in 1924, "it seems we can be certain that it was more than 2 and fewer than 10,000" (Yamazaki 1924).

Sarcastic as he surely was, the lawyer had the right approach. These various purported estimates do not provide information. In truth, they are not estimates at all. The first days of September were days of unprecedented chaos. People died when houses collapsed. They died when the flames surrounded them. They died when long-time rivals used the chaos to settle scores or when looters found them in the way. And some died when Japanese security teams thought them responsible for arson, poisoning, looting, rape, or murder, and killed them. Some of the people behind the estimates (like Yoshino) added up the rumors they heard. Some of the others (like the Shanghai provisional government) tallied the rumors and then added them multiple times.

Minimum. Much as the lawyer implies, the best a scholar can do is calculate a plausible range. The minimum number is easy. The Japanese government limited its counts of Korean sabotage to those with the strongest claims to credibility, and seems to have done the same with the murders of Koreans. If the police in December 1923 reported 400 Koreans killed, we can be reasonably sure that the security bands killed at least 400 Koreans.

Maximum. The maximum is harder. Start with the number of Koreans in the greater Tokyo area at the time of the earthquake. On the one hand, historian Shoji Yamada (2012-2013, 4) has done some of the most careful work on the earthquake. He writes that 8,600 Koreans lived in Tokyo in 1923, 3,600 in Kanagawa, and another 1,900 nearby -- for a total 14,100 in the Kanto plain. The Governor General in Korea estimated similarly estimated 9,000 Koreans in Tokyo city

proper (Chosen sotoku kanbo 1923). On the other hand, a 1923 Japanese government report estimates that only 10,000 Koreans lived in the greater Tokyo area (Kanto kangei 1923).

Some of the Tokyo Koreans were students, and had not yet returned from vacation. The Governor General estimated 3,000 Korean students in Tokyo (Zaikyo senjin 1923; Chosen sotoku kanbo 1923); the Toa nippo newspaper (Toa nippo 1923) estimated 2,000. The university students would still have been home on vacation on September 1; lower-level students would have been back in town. The Governor General put the number of students in Tokyo at the time of the earthquake at 1,200 to 1,300 (Zaikyo senjin 1923); the Toa nippo (1923) put it at 1,600.

Many Koreans would have died in the earthquake and fire. According to the Director General, about 4,000 of the Koreans laborers (not students) lived in the Honjo and Fukugawa wards (Zaikyo senjin 1923; Chosen sotoku kanbo 1923). The Honjo ward -- in the merchant quarters to the east of the Sumida River, now part of Sumida ward -- suffered a fatality rate much higher than anywhere else in the area: of a population of 256,000 (Somu sho 1920), 48,000 to 51,000 died (Keishi 1923b). On a Korean population in Honjo of 4,000, that ratio yields a death toll of 790. Note that this almost exactly tracks the Governor General's count of 832 total Korean deaths.

Once the rumors of the killings by the Japanese security squads began to circulate, the police took Koreans into protective custody. They held the largest number in Narashino -- estimates of Koreans held there range from about 3,200 (Chosen sotoku kanbo 1923; Rikugun ni oite 1923) to 3,000 (Shinkasai ni 1923; Koyagi 1923). The total number of Koreans the police held in all protective facilities is less clear. The estimates range from 5,300 (the Korean Director General's office; Chosen sotoku kanbo 1923), to 8,000 to 9,000 (the Navy; Koyagi 1923), to 11,900 in Tokyo proper and 4,300 elsewhere in the greater Tokyo area (the Ministry of Justice; Naimu sho 1923).

Shortly after the earthquake, the Japanese government helped a large number of Koreans return to Korea. One navy report from southern Korea placed the number of refugees arriving from the greater Tokyo area at 6,000 (Kaigun 1923: 3-38, 3-41, 3-45 to 3-48, 3-57). The office of the Korean Governor General placed the number -- in various reports -- at 5,700 (Chosen sotoku kanbo 1923), at 6,500 (Chosen sotoku fu 1923b), and at 7,200 (Chosen sotoku fu 1924).

Conclusion. Start with the crudest of approaches. Suppose 14,100 Koreans lived in the greater Tokyo area, that 1,000 students were home on vacation, and that 800 Koreans died in the fires. That leaves 12,300 Koreans. If only 5,700 returned to Korea, and the security squads slaughtered every remaining Korean in the Kanto plain, they would have killed 6,600. By contrast, suppose the police took into custody anywhere close to the Ministry of Justice's estimate of 16,200 Koreans. Obviously, the security squads could not have killed any Korean at all. The range of potential killings thus runs from 0 to 6,600.

Consider, however, the credibility of these various numbers. First, given that Yamada enjoys scholarly respect and has devoted much of his career to this subject, take his estimate of 14,100 Koreans rather than the Japanese government's estimate of 10,000. Second, given that the lower government refugee counts date from 1923, take the Governor General's 1924 refugee total of 7,200. Third, given that even Yamada places the total Tokyo-area Korean count at 14,100, drop the Ministry of Justice's estimate of 16,200 Koreans in protective custody. The rough mid-point of the other estimates of Koreans in custody falls at 7,200.

In short: Start with (i) 14,100 Koreans, and subtract (ii) 1,000 students on vacation, and (iii) 800 deaths from the fires. The calculation yields a pool of 12,300 potential victims.

Note that the numbers above place both the number of Koreans in custody and the number of Koreans returning to Korea at 7,200. This fits the picture of a Japanese government that placed any Koreans it could identify in custody, and then encouraged them to return to Korea. Accordingly, take (a) the 12,300 potential victims, and subtract (b) the 7,200 in protective custody and then repatriated to Korea. This leaves a smaller pool of 5,100 potential victims: if the security squads successfully identified and killed every Korean in the greater Tokyo metropolitan area who did not return to Korea, they would have killed 5,100.

The government counted 400 victims of the security squads. Given the chaos of the time, we would not expect it to identify every -- or even most -- of the victims. For the same reason, however, we would not expect the security squads to locate every Korean who stayed out of the protective custody, and we would not expect every Korean to have wanted to return to Korea.

Hence the range: significantly higher than 400, and significantly lower than 5,100.

4. Postscript. -- Ironically perhaps, three decades later, Japan-resident Koreans would launch a decidedly real campaign of sabotage and terror. Three decades later, the exaggerated rumors of 1923 would start to come true. At the close of the war in August 1945, 1.9 million Koreans lived in Japan. Most wanted to return to Korea, and during the last four months of the year, 100,000 to 200,000 Koreans left every month. They had come overwhelmingly from the southern tip of the peninsula, and there they returned (Sasazaki 1955, 38-39; Ri 1980, 182).

As the months passed, Japan began a steady recovery, while Korea remained mired in chaos. Kim Il-sung controlled the north -- and would begin his famously brutal family dynasty. In the south, the fiercely anti-communist Syngman Rhee steadily consolidated his hold. His too was a brutal consolidation. Just on the island of Jeju (from which a large fraction of the Japan-resident Koreans had come), over the course of 1948 to 1949 his forces would slaughter 14,000 to 30,000 real and suspected communists.

With Japan in recovery and South Korea in chaos, the exodus slowed. Many of those who had planned to leave Japan decided to wait. The specially chartered trains for the harbor left with empty seats. Many Koreans who had returned home began to have second thoughts. Having already left Japan they could no longer return legally. They chartered small boats for midnight landings instead.

The resulting cross-cutting migratory currents shaped the politics of the post-war Japan-resident Korean community: an initial migration from Japan to South Korea, and an illegal return migration from South Korea to Japan. Capitalist and apolitical Koreans may not have appreciated Rhee's pugilism, but they did not face the vulnerability that their far-left counterparts did. Necessarily, Korean communists in Japan had more reason to stay in Japan than their capitalist and apolitical counterparts; communists in South Korea had more reason to migrate back to Japan than their capitalist and apolitical counterparts.

Within the Japan-resident Korean community in the early post-war years, Kim Chon-hae would play perhaps the most prominent role. Kim had spent the war in a Japanese prison as a political prisoner. Released in October of 1945, he immediately set about organizing Japan-resident Koreans. He would also join the Central Committee of the Japanese Communist Party (JCP), and eventually emigrate to North Korea where he would serve on the Central Committee of the party there as well (Ri 1980, 3). As representatives of the Korean community in Japan gathered to form an encompassing organization (the Federation of Japan-Resident Koreans; Zai Nihon Chosenjin renmei), however, Kim maneuvered himself into the role of "Supreme Adviser." From

there, he and his allies purged non-communists from the Federation's leadership, and placed the Federation under the direct control of the JCP (Ri 1980, 3; Sasazaki 1955, 50, 58).

Koreans in Japan began to turn violent almost immediately. Police counted 5,000 violent incidents involving 50,000 Koreans in 1946 -- including violence against Japanese government agencies and the police (Sasazaki 1955., 198-99). The violence ebbed for a few years, but police again counted massive violence in 1949 -- this time involving 20,000 Koreans (Sasazaki 1955, 205).

The Korean violence took a distinctly political turn in 1950. In January, Stalin ripped the JCP for its peaceful tactics, and in June the North Korean army invaded the south. The duly chastised JCP went underground, and embarked on a multi-year campaign of terror and sabotage. For its front line, it recruited heavily from among the Japan-resident Koreans (see Ri 1980, 16-21; Sasazaki 1955, 4-9, 49, 102).

In effect, the JCP and its allied Koreans had begun a Korean War front within Japan. The Koreans organized themselves into -- ironically, for this essay -- what they called private security organizations. They named them the Committees to Protect the Motherland (Sokoku boei iinkai), and trained under surreptitiously infiltrated North Korean military officers (Sasazaki 1955, 101-103).

The Motherland Protection Committees coupled terrorism with sabotage. They bombed police stations. They attacked government offices. They set cars on fire with molotov cocktails. They attacked American military installations and personnel. And they disrupted munitions production for the Korean front, and the transportation of those munitions to South Korea (Sasazaki 1955, 60, 103).

III. Security as a Normal Good

A. The Logic:

Security is a public good and a normal good, and the juxtaposition presents a problem. On the one hand, because security service is a non-excludable public good subject to economies of scale, we provide it through the state. Were everyone to buy his own security individually, we would collectively lose the economies of scale involved. We would also present everyone with a prisoners' dilemma, and find ourselves with suboptimal levels of service. Rather than leave each person to buy his own security, we provide it from the public fisc.

On the other hand, because security services are a normal good, people do not necessarily want the same level. Instead, the welfare-maximizing level of protection rises with income. Wealthy people spend more to protect their own security and (having more property to protect) earn greater returns from protecting their property as well.

Modern democracies finesse this mismatch by limiting public security to a basic minimum. The government provides a base level of police protection. Beyond that base, wealthier citizens buy extra protection out-of-pocket. When they do, they tend to focus on those services with the least spillover. Most home owners, for example, do not hire private guards for their houses. If they do hire guards, they hire them collectively as a neighborhood association. Instead, private individuals invest in technology. They purchase alarm systems, motion detectors, video cameras, higher quality locks -- all of which do relatively less to help their neighbors.

Some democracies, like the U.S., also limit the mismatch between public provision and private demand by hiring police at the local level. Given that people tend to segregate by income everywhere, this lets residents buy public security services closer to their private preferences.

Richer citizens live in municipalities that hire police protection at levels that insure relatively low levels of crime. Poorer citizens make do with homes in higher crime areas.

Egalitarian commentators routinely complain about this, of course. The rich live in communities where the public police keep crime to low levels, they note. The rich buy private security services besides. All this is true, but no different from any other normal good. Demand for most goods and services rises with income (hence the term "normal"). Given that it does, the rich consume more of most such goods and services. So too with security protection.

D. Private Policing in Japan:

1. Introduction. -- Security services form a large industry in modern Japan. The national government itself supplies 251,900 police officers. But as befits a wealthy country with a range of tastes for security services, many Japanese augment this basic protection with private security contracts. As of 2016, 9,400 private firms employed 543,000 employees (Keisatsu 2016, 1). These firms primarily offered traffic management and security services for homes and commercial establishments (Keisatsu sho 2016, 4).

Americans buy less private security. With roughly three times the Japanese population, the various governments in the U.S. provide roughly 810,000 police officers. Americans supplement this public service with 1.13 million of what the Labor Department calls "security and gaming surveillance officers in 2017. They hire another 100,000 IT security officers, and 40,000 private detectives (U.S. Department of Labor).

Table 1 offers some comparisons to a few other countries. Switzerland also enjoys low crime levels (though still higher than Japan), but uses only half the private security officers. The U.K., France and Germany both have more crime and more police. The U.K. has a larger market for private security; France and Germany have smaller markets.

The largest of the Japanese security firms is Secom. Founded in 1962, it boasts sales of 971 billion yen (as of May 2019, \$1.00 U.S. traded for about 110 yen yen) and a workforce of 54,600. The next largest is Alsok, with sales of 436 billion yen and 37,500 employees (Nihon keizai 2019, 247). The typical Japanese security firm is much smaller. As of 2016, only 49 firms had 1000 employees or more; 2300 firms had 1 to 5 (Keisatsu 2016, 2-3).

The industry does many things, of course, but it particularly seems to offer low paid and non-demanding jobs to elderly men forced out of work before they had planned to retire. About 110,000 of the Japanese security workers in 2016 were aged 50 to 59 -- the modal age decade. The most publicly visible of the security workers wave traffic around construction sites. Others guide elementary school children across traffic intersections, tell them grandfatherly jokes, and encourage them to continue home carefully (Keisatsu 2016, 2-3).

Japanese consumers can of course hire private guards individually for their homes, but few do. Most upper-middle class Japanese instead contract for wired services. They install alarm systems, post prominent Secom or Alsok signs, and connect the alarms to the relevant security service.

Yet as taxpayers, Japanese sometimes collectively contract for extra private police. Most notably, two of the wealthiest wards in Tokyo, along with the extremely poor Okinawa, have contracted with Secom for additional policing. The wards are Setagaya (population: 900,000) and Minato (243,000). In the two wards, Secom squad cars circulate on a 24-hour basis. Consider the contrast with the U.S. American communities buy police protection at the municipal level -- with wealthy communities buying enough to keep crime rates extremely low. Japanese communities

obtain basic police protection from the national government, and then sometimes purchase extra protection from private firms as well (Yasuda 2019; Chiiki 2012).

The growth in the modern Japanese security industry coincides with a growth in crime. Over the course of the 1990s, crime --especially thefts -- soared. In 1992, police reported 1.74 million Criminal Code crimes (mostly thefts). By 2002, they reported 2.85 million. The number of private security employees followed quickly -- from 291,000 in 1992, to 437,000 in 2002. After peaking in 2002, however, crime began to fall, and by 2007 the Criminal Code violations had fallen to 1.91 million, and by 2017 to 915,000. Private investments in security stayed high: in 2007, private security firms employed 494,000, and in 2016 they still had 543,000 (Keisatsu sho, various years; Endo 2017, 49).

2. The criminal overlap. -- (a) Misora. Of course, the investments that protect resemble the investments that enable a person to prey. For an effective guard (a real guard, not an elderly gentleman helping grade school children over a crosswalk), one of the qualifications is a facility for violence. As a result, the security and criminal industries have often overlapped -- as the mafia, Siegel and Lansky, and the Pinkertons exemplify.

Japanese history illustrates the same overlap. Take Hibari Misora, the most wildly popular Japanese singer of the 1950s, and perhaps for the entire second half of the twentieth century. With a deep and throaty alto, she specialized first in jazz and then in a retro-traditional Japanese genre known as enka. In the days before television, she toured the country with her songs.

Singers lived vulnerable lives in the late 1940s. Arriving in a new town as entertainers, they worked at the mercy of the local mob. Often, the mob controlled access to the local stage. From entertainers they demanded a protection tax.

In 1948, Misora's parents took their 11 year-old daughter to meet Kazuo Taoka, the don of what would become the largest of the Japanese mobs, the Yamaguchi gumi. The group recruited heavily from the burakumin under-class in Japan. Misora herself was said (by some) to come from the buraku, and her younger brother would in time join the Yamaguchi gumi. Misora sang for Taoka, and he apparently loved her voice. He promptly took her under his wing. For the rest of her career, she travelled with guards from the Yamaguchi gumi. (Misora N.D.; Yamadaira 2016; Buraku mondai 2015).

In 1963, the city of Kobe (where the Yamaguchi-gumi has its headquarters) began building a retail arcade near the Sannomiya railroad station. For that work, Kobe hired the large, mainstream construction firm of Kajima. Yet Sannomiya lay in a mob-dominated part of the city. Anticipating trouble, Kajima contracted with the Yamaguchi gumi for security services. But the line between protection and extortion being as vague in Japan as anywhere else, the police called it extortion. They charged Taoka, and the court eventually sentenced him to four years in prison. Taoki died before serving time. Misora spoke at his funeral (Yakuza soshiki N.D.).

(b) Iijima. Isamu Iijima had anti-communist tastes. Born in 1921, he had served as a captain in the imperial army. In 1960, he organized a brigade to fight the far-left during the riots over the U.S.-Japan defense treaty (Iwasaki 2018, 13-14). And from that history, Iijima created a business. Primarily, he specialized in attacking student activists, labor unions, and citizen protest groups.

In 1969 and 1970, Iijima transformed his brigade into the Special Defense & Assurance firm (Tokubetsu boei hoshō). As staff, he hired graduates of the martial arts teams at third-tier universities. Iijima had a memorable criminal history himself (11 violations), and willingly hired

men with similar records. He maintained about 200 core employees, and hired on another 2000 as necessary (Iwasaki 2018, 14).

Iijima and his men played prominent roles in 1970s violence. Time and again, firms hired Iijima and his staff to break strikes. When victims of the Minamata mercury poisoning attended the general shareholders meeting of the polluting Chisso fertilizer firm, Chisso hired Iijima. His employees beat the shareholders, and closed down the protests (Chisso 1971). When leftist groups fought plans to build an international airport at Narita, the firms involved hired Iijima. Again, his men left the protestors badly injured.

About this all, Iijima was nothing if not forthright (Iwasaki 2018, 15):

When a security firm has a contract with a firm, it breaks the strikes at that firm. And if we have a security contract with a university, we have police powers within the school precincts. ... Lynching should be tried at law, to be sure. But if the other side fights back, and in order to clear them out a few skulls have to crack Well, that can't be helped. Sign a security contract, and we can enter fully into battle.

The unions retaliated by demanding industry regulation (Iwasaki 2018, 18). Faced with their pressure, the government investigated, and counted 321 security firms with 27,000 employees. Of the managers, branch officers, and directors, 77 had criminal records, and 4 were former members of the mob. Of the firm presidents, 20 had criminal records (Sato 1971, 108; Iwasaki 2018, 19).

Given the union pressure, the Diet passed a regulatory statute in 1972 (Iwasaki 2018, 11). Through it, the government introduced a variety of measures that would let it exclude from the industry men with recent criminal histories or syndicate ties. The unions ran Iijima out of business, it seems. Today, security officers are more often genial grandfathers joking with grade school children than they are Iijima's martial arts graduates

But the problems are not gone. In 2004, the city of Yao (50 kilometers from Kobe) decided to build a disability welfare center. In the course of the contracting, the nominal human rights group for the buraku under-class (the Buraku Liberation League; BLL) illustrated how simultaneously to play protector and predator. With its close ties to the mob, it maneuvered itself into position as a consultant to the Kajima construction firm. Kajima then paid large amounts of the government funds to a BLL official, and hired for security services a firm on whose board the BLL official's wife served (Jiage 2008; see generally Ramseyer & Rasmusen 2018; Ramseyer 2019).

Kosmo Security Services currently (2019) operates a 500 employee shop out of Osaka (next to Kobe and Yao). Its manager maintains close ties to the buraku under-class and the BLL (Ese dowa 2017) -- not irrelevant given the buraku's ties to the mob and the BLL's history of extortion. Several years ago, a local elementary school hired Kosmo to guard its building at night. In 2007, however, the police arrested one of its guards. In one of the teacher's desk, it seems the guard had found photographs and videos of naked girls being measured in the health clinic and changing into their swim suits. He stole the photographs and videos and promptly tried to extort payments from the teacher (Saitei ningen 2007; Kosumo keibi 2019).

In 2009, the police arrested a former president of Echigo Security Assurance for funneling profits to the mob (Mainichi 2009). And in 2010, the government revoked the license of a Fukuoka security firm on the ground that one of its managers also held a senior post with the Yamaguchi gumi (Boryokudan gaisha 2010).

The tensions, in other words, continue.

V. Conclusions

Modern democracies provide security protection out of the public fisc. They do so both because the protection involves a non-excludable public good, and because it is subject to economies of scale. Simultaneously, however, security protection is also a normal good. The demand for security tends to rise with income levels. In some countries (as in the U.S.), citizens decide the level of public security at the local level -- facilitating Tiebout competition; other countries (e.g., Japan) find the resulting inequalities politically unpalatable and centralize policing instead. In either country, wealthier citizens tend to augment their public security with private services bought on the market. In both countries, the private security services carry with them the potential for extortion as well as protection.

**Table 1:. Public Police and Private Security Services,
Selected Countries**

	<i>Police</i>		<i>Private security</i>		<i>Murders</i>		<i>Population</i>
	<i>No.</i>	<i>Rates</i>	<i>No.</i>	<i>Rates</i>	<i>No.</i>	<i>Rates</i>	
Belgium	37,900	350.0	15,400	142.2	198	1.83	10,840,000
Bulgaria	28,200	372.4	57,100	755.5	128	1.69	7,564,000
France	220,000	340.0	147,800	228.4	743	1.15	64,714,000
Germany	308,400	377.0	168,000	205.4	662	0.81	81,802,000
Japan	251,900	199.6	543,000	430.2	442	0.35	126,220,000
Switzerland	17,800	228.9	17,700	227.9	46	0.59	7,786,000
U.K.	151,000	243.5	364,600	588.0	655	1.06	62,008,000
U.S.	807,000	245.3	1,133,900	344.7	14,612	4.44	328,994,000

Notes: Numbers are for most recent years available, but because of differing sources and reliability should be taken only as rough approximations.

Sources: CoESS & APROSER, *The Socio-Economic Added Value of Private Security Services in Europe*, March 14, 2013 (Wemmel, Belgium: CoESS General Secretariat); U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (*Occupational Outlook Handbook*, 2019); United Nations Office on Drugs & Crime, *Intentional Homicide, Count and rate per 100,000* (n.d.); Claire Provost, *The Industry of Inequality*, *The Guardian*, May 12, 2017; general sources on internet. For Japan, see sources given in text.

Table 2: Population in Japan, by Origin

	Total	Male	Female
<i>Japanese</i>			
1920	55,884,992	27,980,989	27,904,003
1930	61,972,025	32,049,065	31,922,060
<i>Koreans</i>			
1920	40,755	36,043	4,712
1930	419,009	297,501	121,508

Source: Kokusei, various years.

**Table 3: Criminal Code Violations in Korea and Japan,
by Koreans and Japanese, 1921-1925**

Rates per 100,000:

	Crimes by Koreans		Crimes by Japanese (male)	
	in Japan (all)	in Korea (male)	in Japan	in Korea
1921	595	126	280	77
1922	589	104	226	69
1923	542	75	191	63
1924	379	75	140	70
1925	498	71	135	75

Notes: Numbers are for people sentenced (rather than arrested). Korean numbers are for both sexes, while Japanese numbers (crimes and totals) are for males only. Note, however, that the Koreans in Japan during this period were overwhelmingly male, and the crimes by Korean women in Japan were in the single digits. The number of total Japanese males from 1920 to 1925 is interpolated using Kokusei data.

Sources: Somu sho, Kokusei chosa [Vital Statistics] (Tokyo: Somu cho, various years); Shiho sho chosa ka, Naichi ni okeru Chosenjin to sono hanzai ni tsuite [Regarding the Koreans in Japan and their crimes], 5 Shiho kenkyu 1, 59 et seq. (1927).

Bibliography

- Abe, Kazuhiro. 1983. Race Relations and the Capitalist State: A Case Study of Koreans in Japan, 1917 through the mid-1920s. *Korean Studies*, 7: 35-60.
- Akashi, Hirotaka & Sozo Matsuura. 1975. Showa Tokko danatsu shi [History of the Oppression by the Showa Period Special Police]. Tokyo: Taihei shuppan sha.
- Aldrich, Daniel P. 2012. Social, not Physical, Infrastructure: The Critical Role of Civil Society in Disaster Recovery. *Disasters: The Journal of Disaster Studies, Policy and Management* Vol. 36 Issue 3 2012 pp. 398- 419.
- Anon. 1923. Report to Dokuritsu shimbun sha [Independence News]. Nov. 28, 1923.. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1923, 338).
- Anon. 1924a. Gyakusatsu [Massacre], Feb. 1924. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1924, 330).
- Anon. 1924b. Nihon koku ni oite okonawareta Chosenjin gyakusatsu [The Massacre of Koreans in Japan]. March 1924. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 342).
- Asahi shimbun. 1923a. Jikeidan no Senjin gyakusatsu jiken [Case of Security Bands Slaughtering Koreans], Osaka Asahi shimbun, Oct. 20, 1923.
- Asahi shimbun. 1923b. Meguro no kosho no kayaku bakuhatu [Explosion in Meguro Arsenal], Osaka Asahi shimbun, Sept. 3, 1923.
- Asahi shimbun. 1923c. Kakuchi demo keikai saretashi [Warnings in variety of Places], Osaka Asahi shimbun, Sept. 4, 1923.
- Asahi shimbun. 1923d. Shinsai ni joji myoka no nakade [In the Wake of the Disaster, in the Midst of the Inferno ...], Osaka Asahi shimbun, Oct. 20, 1923.
- Bates, Peter Alexander. 2006. Fractured Communities: Class and Ethnicity in Representations of the Great Kanto Earthquake (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Ph.D. dissertation).
- Berg, Julie, & Simon Howell. 2017. The Private Security Complex and its Regulation in Africa: Select Examples from the Continent. *International J Comparative & Applied Criminal Justice*, 41: 273-86.
- Boryokudan nyuusu. 2010. Keibi gaisha no jisshitsuteki keieisha wa kumicho [Mob Boss was Actual Manager of Security Firm], Boryokudan nyuusu, Sept. 17, 2010, available at: <http://yakuzanews.jp>.
- Buraku mondai [The Buraku Problem], in Hatena blog, June 14, 2015, available at: <http://mira00.hatenablog.com/entry/2015/06/14/000332>.
- Chiiki shakai no [anzen, anshin] ni kiko suru Sekomu no "bohan patorooru" [The Secom Crime Prevention Patrol that Contributes to the Safety and Security of Regional Societies], Aug. 16, 2012, Secom. Available at: <https://www.secom.co.jp/flashnews/backnumber/20120816.html>.
- Chosen sotoku fu. 1924. Kokei No. 63, Jan. 12, 1924. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 279).

- Chosen sotoku fu. 1923a. Kokei dai 3093 [Higher Police Report 3093], Sept. 13, 1923..
Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 551).
- Chosen sotoku fu. 1923b. Taisho 12 nen Chian jokyo [1923 Public Security Circumstances].
Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 489).
- Chosen sotoku kanbo. 1923. Kanto chiho shinsaiji ni okeru Chosenjin mondai [The Korean
problem Relating to the Kanto Area at the Time of the Disaster] (No date -- presumably
1923). Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 449).
- Endo, Yasuo. 2017. Nihon keizai to keibigyo [The Japanese Economy and the Security Industry].
Tokyo: Norin tokei shuppan.
- Ese dowa konzetsu Osaka renikaku kaigi ga sokai [Osaka Federation to Root Out Fake Dowa
Claims Holds General Meeting], Sept. 1, 2017, available at: <http://www.hrn.gr.jp>.
- Gambetta, Diego. 1996. The Sicilian Mafia: The Business of Private Protection. Cambridge:
Harvard University Press.
- Gibson, Jenna. 2019. Korea Commemorates 100th Anniversary of March 1st Independence
Protests. The Diplomat, March 1, 2019.
- Goto, Shimpei (attribution). No.Date. Shinsai go ni okeru keiji jihan oyobi kore ni kansen suru
jiko chosa sho [Criminal Cases After the Disaster and Survey of Related Matters].
Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 371).
- Hearings of Shakai rodo iinkai, No. 3, 69th Diet, House Councillors, Sept. 28, 1972:
- Hokuriku taimuzu. 1923. Gojuhachimei no rodosha wo ... [58 Workers ...], Hokuriku taimuzu,
Oct. 14, 1923.
- Hui-wen, Koo & I.P.L. Png. 1994. Private Security: Deterrent or Diversion. Int'l Rev. L. &
Econ., 4: 87-101.
- Ishiguro, Yoshiaki. 1998. A Japanese National Crime: The Korean Massacre After the Great
Kanto Earthquake of 1923. Korea Journal.
- Iwasaki, Hiroyasu. 2018. Keibi gyosha ji yoru rodo sogi kainyu jirei i oeru ukeoi keiyaku no
shokino [The Various Functions of the Contract by Security Firm to Become Involved in
Labor Disputes], Core Ethics, 14: 11.
- Kahoku shimpo. 1923a. Daishinsaigo no Tokyo ha yakeishi no kawara no yoda [The Post-
Disaster Tokyo is a River Bed of Hot Rocks]. Kahoku shimpo, Sept. 6, 1923. Reproduced
in Kan & Kum (1963, 170).
- Kahoku shimpo. 1923b. Dokan de ikita san mannin [Thirty Thousand living in Pipes], Kahoku
shimpo, Sept. 6, 1923. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 171).
- Kahoku shimpo. 1923c. Futei senjin ni taishite moetarū fukushushin wa nakanaka kieso deha nai
[The Burning Desire for Revenge Against Koreans Is Not Likely to End Soon]. Kahoku
shimpo, Sept. 7, 1923. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 162).
- Kahoku shimpo. 1923d. Gosoju no senninwo ubatte gyakusatsu [Grab Koreans Being
Transported and Slaughtered], Kahoku shinpo, Oct. 22, 1923.

- Kahoku shimpo. 1923e. Hakodate un'yu jimusho Tsubouchi Naofumi shi den [Comments of Naofumi Tsubouchi of the Hakodate Transport office]. Kahoku shimpo, Sept. 6, 1923. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 170).
- Kaigun kanbo. 1923. Taisho 12 nen: Biko hensai saigai fuzoku, 12 [Explanation of Damages from Disaster, Appendix 12]. Available at: <https://www.jacar.archives.go.jp>.
- Kan, Dokusan & Kum Byondon, eds. 1963. Gendai shi shiryō [Materials for Modern History]. Tokyo: Misuzu shobo.
- Kanto daishinsai to gyakussatsu [The kanto earthquake and the massacre]. Available at: <https://sabasaba13.exblog.jp/i26/>
- Kanto kangei shireibu. 1923. [Essay by Anon Korean]. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 249).
- Keibi bu. 1923. Kyōgi jiko [Conference matters] Sept. 16, 1923. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 82).
- Keiho kyoku. 2013. Shinsaigo no keibi hitohan [Security Corps in the Wake of the Disaster]. December 2013. Home Ministry police affairs bureau.
- Keisatsu cho. 2016. Heisei 28 nen ni okeru keibi gyo no gaikyo [The General Circumstances of the Security Industry in 2016].
- Keisatsu cho. 2018. Keisatsu hakusho [Police White Paper]. Tokyo: Keisatsu cho.
- Keisatsu sho. Various years. Keisatsu hakusho [Police White Paper]. Available at: https://www.npa.go.jp/publications/whitepaper/index_keisatsu.html.
- Keishi cho. 1923a. Saichi no chian iji sochi [Measures to Preserve Order in Disaster Zone]. Sept. 3, 1923, reproduced in (Kan & Kum 1963, 73).
- Keishi cho. 1923b. Total bodies incinerated Sept 1-Sept 30.. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 468)..
- Kosumo keibi hosho kabushiki kaisha. Available at: http://www.kosmo-keibi.co.jp/recruit_program.html.
- Koyagi. 1923. Report of Major Koyagi, Navy. 1923. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 265).
- Kumamoto nichi nichi. 1971. Chisso kanbu tsuikyū mo [Targeting Chisso Management as Well], Kumamoto nichi nichi shimbun, May 30, 1971.
- Lee, Jinhee. 2008 The Enemy Within: Earthquake, Rumours and Massacre in the Japanese Empire. At the Interface/Probing the Boundaries. 47: 187.
- Mainichi shimbun. 2009. Keibigyo ho ihan ... [Violation of Security Industry Act...], Mainichi shimbun, Jan. 21, 2009.
- Matsumoto, Hiroyuki, Yuichiro Suzuki & Shuichi Takashima, eds. 2013. Kindai tochi no sochi to toji [The Instruments and Control of the Modern City].. Tokyo: Nihon keizai hyoron sha.
- Matthews, Joel. 2017. Historicizing "Korean Criminality": Colonial Criminality in Twentieth Century Japan,. Int J. Korean Hist., 22: 11-42.

- McCrie, /Robert. 2017. Private Security Services Regulations in the United States Today. *International J Comparative & Applied Criminal Justice*, 41: 287-304.
- Misora Hibari to Yamaguchi gumi [Misora Hibari and the Yamaguchi gumi]. Available at: <http://misorahibariza.jp/hibari/yamaguchi.html>.
- Nagoya shimbun. 1923. Usui toge no uekara ressha bakuha kuwadatsu [Plan to Blow Up Train from Usui Pass], Nagoya shimbun, Sept. 4, 1923.
- Naikakufu. 2005. Saigai kyokun no keisho ni kansuru senmon chosakai hokokusho [Report of the Special Investigative Committee Relating to the Lessons Inherited from the Great Disaster]. July 2005.
- Naimu sho. 1923. Chosenjin hogo shuyo jin'in [Number of Koreans in Protective Custody], Nov. 1923. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 277).
- Naito. 1923. Daishinsai no sai shojitaru senjin ni taisuru giwaku ni tsukite [Regarding Suspicions of Koreans Caused by the Great Disaster], memorandum to Army Justice Bureau Chief. Sept. 20, 1923. Reproduced in Kan & Kum 1963, 87.
- Nalla, Mahesh K. & Anna Gurinskaya. 2017. Common Past -- Different Paths: Exploring State Regulation of Private Security Industry in Eastern Europe and Post-Soviet Republics. *International J Comparative & Applied Criminal Justice*, 41: 305-21.
- Neff, Robert. 2016. 1923 Kanto Earthquake Massacre Seen Through American Viewpoints, *Korea Times*, Aug 31, 2016.
- Nihon keizai shimbun. 2019. Gyokai chizu [Industry Map]. Tokyo: Nihon keizai shimbun shuppan kai.
- Nihon kiki kanri hoshō, K.K. Available at: <https://kikikanli.co.jp/company/>
- OCCRP. 2010. Security Chaos: Crime and Politics Mix in Security Industry. Available at: <https://www.reportingproject.net/security/index.php/stories/1-stories/3-crime-and-politics-mix-in-security-industry>.
- Osaka news. 2007. Saitei ningen darake ... ["Full of the Worst Human Beings" ...], Osaka news, Nov. 30, 2007. Available at: <http://osakanews.seesaa.net/article/70200287.html>.
- Prenzler, Tim, Rick Sarre & Dae Woon Kim. 2017. Reforming Security Industry Training STandards: An Australian Case Study. *International J Comparative & Applied Criminal Justice*, 41: 323-34.
- Ramseyer, J. Mark, & Eric B. Rasmusen. 2018. Outcaste Politics and Organized Crime in Japan: The Effect of Terminating Ethnic Subsidies, 15 *J. Empirical Legal Stud.* 192.
- Ri, Yukan. 1980. Nihon no naka no 38 do sen [The 38th Parallel within Japan]. Tokyo: Yoyo sha.
- Rikugun ni oite shuyo shiaru senjin no jokyo [Circumstances of the Koreans in Army Custody]. Oct. 12, 1923. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 257).
- Ryang, Sonia. 2003. The Great Kanto Earthquake and the Massacre of Koreans in 1923: Notes on Japan's Modern National Sovereignty. *Anthropological Quarterly*, 76: 731.

- Ryang, Sonia. 2007. The Tongue That Divided Life and Death: The 1923 Tokyo Earthquake and the Massacre of Koreans. *Asia-Pacific Journal*, Sept. 3, 2007.
- Sasazaki, Hiraharu. 1955. *Zainichi Chosenjin undo* [The Japan-Resident Korean (Political) Movements]. Tokyo: Reibundo.
- Sato, Masayoshi. 1971. Keibi hoshō eigyo wo meguru mondaiten [Problems Relating to the Security Protection Industry]. *Keisatsu gaku*, 24: 107.
- Schenckling, J. Charles. 2006. Catastrophe, Opportunism, Contestation: The Fractured Politics of Reconstruction Following the Great Kanto Earthquake of 1923. *Modern Asian Studies*, 40: 833.
- Shiho sho chosa ka. 1927. Naichi ni okeru Chosenjin to sono hanzai ni tsuite [Regarding the Koreans in Japan and their crimes], *Shiho kenkyū* 5: 1.
- Shiho sho. 1923. Shinsai go ni okeru keijijihan oyobi kore ni kanren suru jiko chosa sho [Survey Relating to Criminal Cases or Related Matters that Occurred After the Earthquake Disaster] (November 1923).
- Shimbun akahata. 2008. Jiage taiho no Mitsuyo jitsugyo [Arrest of Mitsuei jitsugyo relating to Building Clearance], *Shimbun akahata*, Mar. 21, 2008.
- Shinkasai ni tomonau Chosenjin ni kansuru ken [Case Involving Koreans in Wake of Disaster]. 1923. Report of Korean Tokko police. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 263).
- Shu, Ei-kan. 1924. Shinsho [Testimony]. July 4, 1924. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 341).
- Silverberg, Miriam. 2005. Afterword: And Some Note So Bad. in *Bad Girls of Japan, 191-196*: (2005, Springer).
- Somu sho. Various years. *Kokusei chosa* [Vital Statistics]. Tokyo: Somu cho.
- Toa nippo. 1923. Sonan doho wo omou [Thinking of Our Brothers in the Disaster], *Toa nippo*, Sept 6, 1923.. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 534).
- Tokyo nichu nichu shimbun. 1923. Shinsai no konran ni joji [Riding on the Confusion from the Disaster], *Tokyo nichu nichu shimbun*, Oct. 21, 1923.
- Tokyo shi no shitai shochi [Disposition of Corpses in Tokyo]. 1923. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 470)..
- Tsuchida, Hiroshige. 2017. *Teito boei* [Protecting the Capital]. Tokyo: Yoshikawa kobunkan.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2019. *Occupational Outlook Handbook*. Available at: <https://www.bls.gov/ooh/protective-service/security-guards.htm>
- Weiner, Michael. 1983. Koreans in the Aftermath of the Kanto Earthquake. *Immigrants & Minorities*, 2: 5.
- Yakuza soshiki shoshi ... [Concise History of the Yakuza Organization ...], *Doka to haijo no Nihon no rekishi*. Available at: <http://kasutorizasshi.blog.jp/blog-entry-579.html>.
- Yamada, Shoji. 2012-2013. What Happened in the Area of Greater Tokyo Right After the Great Kanto Earthquake?, *Comparative Genocide Studies*, 3: 1-23.

- Yamadaira, Shigeki. 2016. "Ojo," "Ojisan" to yobiatta ... [Who Called Each Other "Daughter" and "Uncle" ...], Zakzak, Mar. 23, 2016, available at: <https://www.zakzak.co.jp/entertainment/ent-news/news/20160323/enn1603231140012-n1.htm>
- Yamato shimbun. 1924. Gyakusatsu senjin suhyakumei no ... [Several hundred Slaughtered Koreans ...], Yamato shimbun, Feb. 10, 1924.
- Yamazaki, Kesaya. 1924. Jishin kempei kaji shinsa [Earthquake, Military Police, Fire, Patrol]. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 581).
- Yasuda, Minoru. 2019. Itsudemo, dokodemo, darenidemo anzenwo teikyo suru [Anytime, Anywhere, Providing Safety to Anyone], Nikkei. Available at: <https://messe.nikkei.co.jp/ss/column/securitytrend/65592.html>.
- Yomiuri shimbun. 1923. Hitoyade 80 amari kanagawa de korosareru [80+ Killed in One Night]. Yomiuri shimbun, Oct. 21, 1923. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 210).
- Yoshida, Ritto. 2016. Guntai no nainaiteki kino to kanto daishinsai [The Internal Function of the Military and the Great Kanto Earthquake]. Tokyo: Nihon keizai hyoron sha.
- Yoshida. 2013. Kanto daishinsai to Yokohama shi no keibi taisei [The Great Kanto Earthquake and the Security Structure of Yokohama]. In Matsumoto, et al., 2013, at 73-104.
- Yoshino, Sakuzo. 1924 Chosenjin gyakusatsu jiken [The Korean Massacre Case]. Reported in Kan & Kum (1963, 357)..
- Zaikyo senjin to dosei ni kansuru ken [On the Pacification of Tokyo Koreans]. [est. 1923]. Reproduced in Kan & Kum (1963, 256).